G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

1912-13.

(mugrai)

Later references.

File No. 193-12

Serial Nos. 1—4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1—4

Part B for September 15

SUBJECT.

Removal of the Mulavara of Sri Parsanna Ramaswamy Swami to the Mantap near Ganga Bharathi Maruti Temple at Narasipatna

To

The Petitioner

The Deputy Commissioner Mysore.

No 921-Df 30.9.15 & 922 Df 30.9.15

Rejecting the Prayer of Krishna Bois for the —

D. Ramachandra Rao

GPB - 10,000—2. 3 15

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Mazra)

NOTES.

File No. 193-12

FILE HEADING.

Removal of the Moolavaram of the Sri Prasanna Narasimha Swamy for Consecration in a Mantap to the east of the Sri Gangadhareswaraswami temple at Srikakulam.

From Krishna Jois of Srikakulam

Petition Dated 21-9-12 Received

Diary No. 534	Enclos.	Spare Copies.	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER

States that the temple in the midst of Kaveri River and that the floods have without the exception of Gandhapudi brought it down, and proposes the removal of the idol to a Mantap to the east of the 32 feet Gopuram known temple. Where he undertakes to repair and make fit for the Consecration of the idol therein.

NOTES AND ORDERS

The petitioner States that the Constant floods of Kaveri River are endangering the Safety of the building and that any amount of Money Spent on its repairs will be useless. He prays therefore that he may be permitted

NOTES—First Sheet.

GPE—30,000—12-8 11

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Mhr File No. 193 of 1912.

Serial No.	
	to remove the idol to a mantap alluded to with a View to Conduct repairs pujas the entire Cost of the removal and the Cost of the repairs being borne by himself. He further prays that the temple Lands which are now assessed at one and half times the full assessment may be reduced to full assessment in Consideration of the heavy expenditure which he has to incur for the repairs of the mantap and for the proposed Consecration therein.
1)	Submitted with a draft forwarding the petition to D. C. Mysore for report. RL 24-9-12
3)	Petn dt. No. 747 & 9-10-12 since received from the same Petr is also submitted. The two Petns may be sent to the Dy Comr for early report on the matter. RL
4)	Issued MR 19.11.12
2	Do — The Dy Comr Mysore &c No 650 D 25.11.1912 Forwards the petns for report. Encl to Petr in 651 D 25/11/1912.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—6,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 192 of 1912-

Serial No.	
3	From the D. C. Mysore No. 43 & 25. 7. 13 ~~To~~ Submits a ~~report~~ the prayer of the petitioner.

M. 7-71-08-142
" 424-08-1104.
muz. Secrett papers ending with G.O. no 5904-5 & 30-6-08

flag P, O & R

5) All the previous papers connected with the case are put up herewith. The office notes in the old muz. Secrett file give the facts of the case. they may be briefly stated as follows :-

(1) The Sri Prasanna Ranjundeswara Swami temple at Subrayanakoppalu near Seringapatam was built by two brothers Seethapur Kapinpathaiya and Ranjundaiya previous to 1855, and was liberally endowed with certain inam lands measuring ~~Rs~~ 17 acres & 5 guntas & assessed at Rs 112. These lands were originally personal ~~and~~ but were treated as Devadaya during the inam settlement of 1881 on a quit rent of Rs 12/-. It has also been observed in the Inam Regr that one Konda Jois (ancestor of the present petitioner) was appointed as manager of the temple properties in the year Nala,

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPE—65.011—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 19 of 191 2

Serial No.

Konda jois is stated to have also produced a deed of gift ~~made~~ made to him by the said widows transferring the management of the temple to him and assigning 6 pagodas annually for his personal benefit & for manthapushpam services &c.

(ii) on complaints being received about the mismanagement of the temple, ~~Govt.~~ the lands were taken over under Govt. management in 1897 and a scale of expenditure at a cost of Rs 186-8-0 was fixed in 1901. The manager Krishna jois (a descendent of Konda jois) submitted a memorial to H.H. in 1903 praying for the restoration of the inam lands and as the Dy Comr reported that the petitioner was conducting services in the temple at his own cost ever since it was taken over by Govt and had kept it in a good condition, Govt were pleased to* restore the inam lands to the possession of the ~~as~~ petitioner, on condition of his keeping the temple in good order & rendering the stipulated services therein

*G.O.No. ~~2950~~ 15th ~~Febry~~ 1905
G.O.No. 3640-1 & 24-5-04
flag Q

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-C-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 193 of 1912

Serial No.

The petitioner subsequently prayed for the payment to him of the income that accrued to the temple during the period when it was under Govt. management and Govt were pleased to order the payment of

G.O. No. 2950-1 & 15-2-05. Mog K

the sum* of Rs 1976-15-0 (being the income that accrued to the temple during the period) together with the

and

G.O. No. 5904-50 30-6-08 Mog K

Savings Bank interest of* Rs 276-12-5 that had accrued on the amount up to the end of 1907-8. Later on he prayed that, in consideration of his long enjoyment (since 1836) of the lands and of the large outlay (about Rs 1600) incurred by him for the improvement of the lands, the patta may be made out in his own name on condition of his paying the Assessment & Govt. dues. It was reported by the Dy Comr that the lands were not very fertile & that portions of the same are often submerged under water while other portions are not irrigable. The Dy Comr recommends that, in consideration of this fact and of the large outlay incurred

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. [illegible] of 1912

Serial No.

by petr & his ancestors for improving the lands, they may be leased out to the petitioner for a period of 20 years on a patta of 1½ times the assessment. This recommendation was sanctioned by Govt in G.O. No. 5904-5 & 30-6-1908. The chief reason for not granting the petn's prayer for transfer of patta, was that it would confer powers of alienation on the petitioner and would deprive the temple of the full benefit derivable from the lands.

(iii) The petitioner submitted two* applications for the review of the Govt order of 1908 and both were rejected on the ground that he had been already ~~too~~ treated very liberally.

6) In the latest petition on which the Dy Comr has now reported, the petitioner states that by the floods of 1911 all the portions of the temple with the exception of the ~~temple~~ garbhagudi were washed away and that even if the building is restored on the same site,

*M.7.71-08-142 & M.7.424-08-104. Flags P & B

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

[GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

7 (7

Serial No.

it is liable to be washed off by ~~sud~~ future floods. He therefore proposes to renovate (at a cost of Rs 1300) an old mantap belonging to the Sri Gangadhareswara swami temple at Seringapatam and transfer the idol of Basawa Nanjundeswaraswami from its present site to the said mantap at a further cost of Rs 605/- He is willing to bear the total cost (Rs 1905/-) of this work, on condition that the inam lands belonging to the temple are given to him permanently on a kaigaingutta @ equal to the Assessment (Rs 112) on the lands together with the Govt. dues (Rs 26) ie a sum of Rs 138/- in all.

7) From the records sent by the Dy Comr it is seen that the Amildar recommends the grant of the petitioner's prayer. ~~But the~~ the Sub Divisn Officer however says that the grant of the prayer 'amounts to his being granted the lands on a tenure almost tantamount to ownership and to the

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

1 G.P.B—65,000—17-6-12.

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 193 of 1912

Serial No.

effacement of the temple as a distinct institution by ~~the~~ shifting the idol to one of the outhouses of another temple'. He further states that the lands will yield not less than Rs 700/- a year when leased to advantage and that this arrangement could only be thought of after the expiry of the present lease running up to 1928. He recommends that the temple may be allowed to stand on its present beautiful & romantic spot and that such repairs as are absolutely necessary to put right the damages may be effected from time to ~~the~~ time. But as the temple has now at its credit only a sum of Rs 98/- and as a sum of Rs 24-8-0 only is stated as being saved every year, it is doubtful whether the repairs could be effected from temple funds alone. The Dy Comr has not given his opinion separately but refers to his notes in the Secrett. while he was H. Secry, Muz. Dept. His opinion (recorded in M.7.71-08 & M.7.124-08) is that the petitioner has already

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

(9)

R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | File No. 14 of 1912---

Serial No.

been liberally treated

Subd for orders

C&R (139) 19-8-13

Subd of Mr Councillor,

I inspected the temple and the mantap of Ramadhar aswar Swamy (which the petitioner wants the Govt to have removed, on 5th inst with the petitioner and the Head Sheristadar

The temple was very much damaged after last high floods which have breached the Compound wall, and up the whole flow and rendered unsafe a mantap adjoining and the only place left undamaged is the ghambaguda which is a small but a substantial stone building. As the Subdiv. Officer remarks, it is no doubt true that the building is romantically situated on the right bank of the Causeway and in good view of the Wellesley Bridge and the bathing ghauts on the opposite bank of the river but it is also true that

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

the place is far away from the town and not ordinarily frequented by people. The Ambika Mutt and the Vadi Mutt appear to have had buildings near here but these buildings have gone and there is only the Parakala mutt at some distance. It is also complained that the place is so unsafe and that no vessel or other portable property can be kept in the premises.

The mantap of the Ganapathi is a substantial stone mantap of nine Arkanams besides some distance from the main temples. It must originally have been an utsava mantap but it is not now used, and it is quite capable of being converted into a decent little temple. This site be kept, situated as it is near the main bathing ghaut. On the whole it seems to me that the request of the petitioner may be granted

I do not think that the petitioner will insist upon the condition of lowering the gutter

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65.000—17-C-12.

Serial No.

And he has further promised to leave the present temple in tact for the use of any travellers.

Lch
13.11.13

So far as I can make out there does not appear to have been any special sanctity or taboo attached to the present site. No site appears to have been chosen as at the time no site near the river was procurable.

Lch
13.11.13

Please remind me about this again. If possible I should like to see the Temple before sanctioning its abandonment.

22/11/13

Lch
25/11

Keep the file and submit to 1st Vice Councillor on the eve of his visit to Kasgar Oct.

Lch
20.1.14

Submit to 1st Vice member

Lch
6.6.14

Shall see this if I can go on 1st. Otherwise another time - 29/6/15

Note—Contn. sheet.

GPB—65,000—17-6-12.

G. R. S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 193 of 1912

1/—

Serial No.

I have seen this Temple. If the image is removed, its character as an institution will be altogether lost. I am at present unwilling to sanction this arrangement. If the person concerned is willing to build a new independent temple in Srirangapatna or some other well inhabited place, he may consider the question.

13/9/15

19/9
14/9/15

Draft endorsement.

R.D.

Submitted with a draft endorsement.

AV(94)
15.9.15

20/9/15

ADC
21/9

4 No: 921 dt 30.9.15, to the below, desires that the below is rejected but if it is possible to undertake the work in a place other than Srirangapatna, the Govt will consider the question.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

G. R. S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

ಕಾಲೇಜು File No. 153 of 1912

Serial No.	
15	ನಂ: 922 ದಿ 30.9.15, ಬಾಲಕರ ಶಾಲೆ, ತೀರ್ಥಹಳ್ಳಿ, ಶಿವಮೊಗ್ಗ ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ above with ಅರ್ಜಿ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಮಾಹಿತಿ ಸಲ್ಲಿಸಿದ್ದು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿದೆ.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

GPB—55,000—27-4-09

No 650 — Ex 2 dy 7-5/11/1912

WP

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA
OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No.....

The accompanying Petition Registered No. D. 5347 dated 21st September 1912 & 9. Oct. 1912 received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Krishna Jois of Seringapatam requesting the removal of the Moolavaram of the Sri Prasanna Narasimhaswamy Situated in the midst of the Kaveri River to a mantap near the Sri Gangadhareshwara Swami temple for Consecration, the entire Cost of such removal and Consecration being borne by himself and ~~portion~~ requesting further the reduction of the assessment now imposed on the temple inam lands is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commissioner Mysore District for Report together with his opinion in the matter.

By Order

DFT 9/2 19.11.12

RL for offg Secretary to Govt
24.9.12

BANGALORE.

.....191

P. T. O.

GPB—1,000—13-2-12

57 ಮಿ 25-11-1912

ಅರ್ಜಿದಾರ ..... ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು

..... ಜಿಲ್ಲೆ ..... ಹೋಬಳಿ

..... ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

..... ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ ಹೂಕುಂ.

..... ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ.

By Order,

Secretary. to Govt

DIARY No. 227

1913-1914

SECTION Revn

FILE No. 493-12

SERIAL No. 3.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Mysore District

To

The Secretary to Govt,
Revenue Branch.

Dated 16-7-1913

Despatched 21-7-1913

Received

General No.

No.

Enclosure No.

Submit report re in the matter of allowing one Krishna Jois to remove Prasanna Venjunadeswara from the midst of Cannery and Consecrate the same elsewhere

GPB—50,000—26-9 12

16 Office of the Dy Commissioner
Mysore District.

No 43
file

Dated Mysore 14/25 July 1913.

From

A. Subramanya Aiyar Esqr B.A.
Dy Commissioner, Mysore District.

To

H. R. Srinivasiengar Esqr, M.A.,
Secretary to the Govt of Mysore
(Land Rev. Depth:
(Huzur) Branch)

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum no: 650/Land. 193-12-2 dated 25-11-1912 calling for a report on the petition of Krishna gois of Seringapatam requesting the removal of the Mahazar of the Sri Prasanna Ranjundesvara Swami from the midst of the Cauvery to some other place and praying the reduction of assessment-

on

on the Imam lands etc. I have the honour to submit herewith the entire file of papers ending with French Rocks Sub Divisional Officers English memo no. 6 of the 4th instant on the subject of reference.

It is needless for me to express any opinion in the matter as my views may be made out from the notes in the Secretariat left by me as Under Secretary in the Muscat Department.

The petition received with your official memorandum

under

under reply is also returned. 17

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Pay Department.

Removal of the Mulavaru of Sri Prasanna Ranjundeshwara Swami to the Mantap near Gangadhareshwara Swami temple at Nanjangud

G.R.S. 27] 12-4

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

D.534
21.9.12

12

[illegible]

By order,

[illegible]
for Revenue Secretary.

1st Members order Dt 20.9.15

Copy to the Dy. Commr, Mysore District, returning the Vernacular records received with his letter No. 43 Dt 25-7-1913.

Dt 30.9.15

By order,

[illegible]
for Rev. Secretary.

Dt 20/9/15

GPI —20,000—16-2 14